Caseas:@78:07-0:1/83:98:359\JS\VD obcomene 12:122 Filled 07//055/20707PagReagen14of 4

Microsoft filed this action on April 2, 2007. Defendant EEE Business Inc. ("EEE") was served with the Summons, Complaint (Dkt. # 1), and Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines ("Initial Scheduling Order") (Dkt. # 2) on May 1, 2007. See Proof of Service (Dkt. # 15). EEE's answer was due May 21, 2007. As of the filing of this Request, EEE has not answered or otherwise responded to Microsoft's complaint.

Defendants Ming Ni Shang ("Shang") and Lifeng (Alice) Wang ("Wang") were served with the Summons, Complaint, and Initial Scheduling Order on May 30, 2007. See Proofs of Service (Dkt. ## 16, 17). Shang's answer and Wang's answer were due on June 19, 2007.

Neither Shang nor Wang timely answered or otherwise responded to Microsoft's complaint.

Pursuant to this Court's Initial Scheduling Order, June 21, 2007 was the deadline to mee

Pursuant to this Court's Initial Scheduling Order, June 21, 2007 was the deadline to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan, and was also the deadline for filing a Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. Despite Microsoft's counsel's attempts to contact EEE, Shang, and Wang (collectively, the "Served Defendants"), Microsoft and the Served Defendants were unable to confer as ordered by this Court. Microsoft therefore filed a Status Report in lieu of the Joint ADR Certification on June 21, 2007. *See* Status Report Regarding Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Conference and Civil L.R. 16-8 ADR Certification and Stipulation (Dkt. # 18).

As noted above, a Case Management Conference in this matter presently is on this Court's calendar for Friday, July 13, 2007. The Initial Scheduling Order requires that any request to reschedule that conference be made in writing at least ten days prior to the conference. The Initial Scheduling Order also requires that the parties' Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Report be filed by July 5, 2007, and that a Joint Case Management Statement be filed no later than five court days prior to the Case Management Conference.

The morning of Monday, July 2, 2007, Jeremy Roller, counsel for Microsoft in this matter, learned that Wang had filed an answer to Microsoft's complaint on Friday, June 29, 2007. *See* Lifeng (Alice) Wang's Answer to Microsoft Corporation's Complaint (Dkt. # 20). Upon learning that Wang had answered Microsoft's complaint, Microsoft's counsel immediately

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

telephoned Wang's counsel at the telephone number listed on her answer. Microsoft's counsel left a message for Wang's counsel, and asked that his telephone call be returned to discuss this case and the various deadlines set forth in the Initial Scheduling Order. Microsoft's counsel again telephoned Wang's counsel the morning of Tuesday, July 3, 2007, and asked that his telephone call be returned as soon as possible. Later that morning Wang's counsel, James Chai, returned Microsoft's counsel's telephone call. Microsoft's counsel described the initial deadlines that have passed and those that are imminent, and suggested that the parties request that the Case Management Conference be rescheduled. Wang's counsel agreed that rescheduling the Case Management Conference would be appropriate, and stated that Microsoft could represent Wang's non-opposition to the request. Microsoft and Wang are scheduled to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan within the next week.

Because Microsoft was unable to confer with Wang's counsel until this morning and because EEE and Shang have not yet answered Microsoft's complaint, Microsoft has been unable to file the ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. Additionally, the Served Defendants have, as of the filing of this Request, been unavailable to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures, to participate in filing the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Report, or to participate in submitting a Joint Case Management Statement as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court's Initial Scheduling Order. As stated above, now that Wang has answered Microsoft's complaint and counsel for Wang and Microsoft have preliminarily conferred, Microsoft and Wang will promptly meet and confer as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court.

REQUEST

Given the foregoing facts, Microsoft respectfully submits that there is good cause to reschedule the Initial Case Management Conference. That conference will be far more productive if, prior to it, Microsoft and the Served Defendants (or, at a minimum, Wang) are able to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures and other matters and to file the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Report and Joint Case Management Statement. Microsoft therefore requests that the Initial Case

	Caseas: 18:07-0:1/83:198:159\/JSWDobboomene:121122 Filled 1077/1035//20707Pageage:144of4
1	Management Conference be rescheduled for the third week of August, 2007, or such other time as
2	convenient for the Court.
3	
4	Respectfully submitted this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2007.
5	
6	/s/ Esha Bandyopadhyay
7	Esha Bandyopadhyay Paul J. Andre
8	PERKINS COIE LLP
9	/s/ Jeremy E. Roller
10	Scott T. Wilsdon (pro hac vice)
11	Jeremy E. Roller ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) YARMUTH WILSDON CALFO PLLC
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation
13	TES DISTRICT
14	The Case Management Conference is RESET for August 24, 2007 at 1:30 p.m.
15	August 24, 2007 at 1:30 p.m.
16	Dated: July 5, 2007
17	PA DISTRICT OF
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	
27	
28	